UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/555,266	11/01/2005	Peter Fuhrmann	DE03 0145 US1	9354
65913 NXP, B.V.	7590 08/10/200	9	EXAM	INER
· ·	ECTUAL PROPERTY	BARON, HENRY		
	1109 MCKAY DRIVE SAN JOSE, CA 95131		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN JOSE, CA			2416	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/10/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ip.department.us@nxp.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/555,266	FUHRMANN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	HENRY BARON	2416	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron ute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ The solution of the solutio	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pr		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and are subject to restriction and are subject to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) are subjected to by the Exam	rawn from consideration. /or election requirement. ner. ccepted or b) □ objected to by the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to by the	ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ints have been received. Ints have been received in Applicat iority documents have been receive eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/555,266

Detailed Action

ERROR DETECTION AND SUPPRESSION IN A TDMA-BASED NETWORK NODE

Response to Arguments/Remarks

- 1. Claims 1 20 are pending in the application.
- 2. Applicant's arguments filed 05/14/2009 have been fully considered and are persuasive.
- 3. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.
- 4. After subsequent search, Examiner finds Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 -20 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection

Objection to Abstract

5. This application does not contain an abstract of the disclosure as required by 37 CFR 1.72(b). An abstract on a separate sheet is required. The mere inclusion of the front page of the PCT is insufficient.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - a. A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1, 11 12, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Belschner, et al (U.S. Patent 7103805), in view of Vail (U.S. Patent 6918068)

- With regards to claims 1, 12 and 17, Belschner teaches of a network node and device comprising 8. a communication unit for the implementation of a communication protocol for communication with other network nodes via a communication medium a bus monitor, and a bus driver, where the communication unit and the bus monitor (2: [0046] read The bus monitor unit which is integrated into the central node is suitable for monitoring access of users to the data bus, without having to install the bus monitor unit in a decentralized controller for this purpose. The central bus monitor unit i.e. bus monitor unit and the diagnostic unit i.e. communication unit can be used to prevent faulty access to the data bus by a user) each mutually independently; 3: [0030] read [t]he central node with the integrated diagnostic unit therefore forms a closed system which preferably also has fault-handling routines, so that the central node is operationally capable independently of external diagnostic units, and has its own fault detection means i.e. mutually independent. AND 5: [0047-0048] read ... In one embodiment, for example, a bus driver can either transmit or receive at one time. (That is, only the transmitter or the receiver in a driver can ever be connected through to the data bus.) The activation of the transmission/reception switches can be carried out in the user itself on the basis of signal activity on the bus line...); each implement an access time schedule contained in a configuration data record (2: [0013] read For this purpose, a time-registering means i.e. access time schedule is provided which registers the time patterns of the data bus for the transmission of a user i.e. configuration data record and, triggered by these time patterns, assigns a transmission slot to each user.) and each make available, in accordance with the access time schedule, a release signal for the bus driver the bus driver evaluates these two release signals (3: [0013] read Based on the second time pattern made available to it, the diagnostic unit checks whether the bus monitor unit regularly retriggers in response to the time patterns by means of the trigger signals i.e. evaluates these two release signals).
- 9. However Belschner does not disclose in the event that the two release signals do not coincide of blocking the access of the network node to the communication medium.

- 10. Vail teaches this limitation (4:[0052] read. For example, the following truth table may be used to determine which communications bus 21, 22 should be selected, as will be readily appreciated by those skilled in the art. (1) BE3 BE2 BE1 Select Comments 0 0 0 R Bad BE3 0 0 1 P Bad BE2 0 1 0 P

 11. Bad BE1 0 1 1 i.e. two release signals do not coincide of blocking the access of the network node to the communication medium P Normal case: P Selected, BE1-3 are all working 1 0 0 R Normal case: R Selected, BE1-3 are all working 1 0 1 R Bad BE1 1 1 0 R Bad BE2 1 1 1 P Bad BE3 In the above table, BE1= bus enable signal 1; BE2= bus enable signal 2; BE3= bus enable signal 3; R= redundant communications bus; P= primary communications bus; BE1 and BE2 are from primary controller 32; and BE3 is from the redundant bus controller 33.)
- 12. Vail teaches this limitation via a redundant bus system, whereby depending on the bus enable signals; communication is either made on the primary or redundant communications bus. Examiner interprets the enablement of communications on the redundant communications bus as logically equivalent to inhibiting communication on the primary communications bus. Thus, "in the event that the two release signals do not coincide of blocking the access of the network node to the communication medium" is taught because the communication system will utilize the redundant communications bus instead of the primary communication bus (inhibiting communication on the primary communication bus). The selection of the redundant communications bus is functionally equivalent to "blocking access of the network node to the communication medium" because the system does not utilize the primary communication.
- 13. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the bus access teachings of Belschner with the comparison circuit teachings of Vail.
- 14. In this manner, access to data bus can be regulated in a discipline manner so as to mitigate user collisions on the data bus or erroneously transmitting data when a single spurious enable signal is set.

15. In regards to claim 11, Belschner teaches of a bus driver for a network node which is provided for communication with other network nodes via a communication medium and a bus driver that evaluates two mutually independent release signals that implement an access time schedule to generate the release signals. (2: [0046] read the bus monitor unit which is integrated into the central node is suitable for monitoring access of users to the data bus, without having to install the bus monitor unit in a decentralized controller for this purpose. The central bus monitor unit i.e. bus monitor unit and the diagnostic unit i.e. communication unit can be used to prevent faulty access to the data bus by a user) each mutually independently; (5: [0006] read [t]he watchdog i.e. bus driver, monitors the cyclical synchronization of the bus monitor unit with the time patterns of the data bus i.e. evaluates two release signals for equality of the release information made available to it by two separate units for a communication medium, and switches the bus monitor unit to an inactive state when the trigger signal fails to occur, blocking or releasing the communication in a way which can be configured for all the users i.e. in the event that the release signals do not coincide, the bus driver blocks the access of the network node. And 3: [0030] read [t]he central node with the integrated diagnostic unit therefore forms a closed system which preferably also has fault-handling routines, so that the central node is operationally capable independently of external diagnostic units, and has its own fault detection means i.e. mutually independent.); and 2: [0013] read For this purpose, a time-registering means i.e. access time schedule is provided which registers the time patterns of the data bus for the transmission of a user i.e. configuration data record and, triggered by these time patterns, assigns a transmission slot to each user.) and each make available, in accordance with the access time schedule, a release signal for the bus driver the bus driver evaluates these two release signals and 3: [0013] read based on the second time pattern made available to it, the diagnostic unit checks whether the bus monitor unit regularly retriggers in response to the time patterns by means of the trigger signals i.e. evaluates these two release signals)

- 16. However, Belschner does not disclose where the bus driver evaluates two release signals for equality of the release information made available to it by two separate units.
- Vail teaches this limitation (4:[0052] read. For example, the following truth table may be used to determine which communications bus 21, 22 should be selected, as will be readily appreciated by those skilled in the art. (1) BE3 BE2 BE1 Select Comments 0 0 0 R Bad BE3 0 0 1 P Bad BE2 0 1 0 P Bad BE1 0 1 1 i.e. two release signals do not coincide of blocking the access of the network node to the communication medium P Normal case: P Selected, BE1-3 are all working 1 0 0 R Normal case: R Selected, BE1-3 are all working 1 0 1 R Bad BE1 1 1 0 R Bad BE2 1 1 1 P Bad BE3 In the above table, BE1= bus enable signal 1; BE2= bus enable signal 2; BE3= bus enable signal 3; R= redundant communications bus; P= primary communications bus; BE1 and BE2 are from primary controller 32; and BE3 is from the redundant bus controller.)
- 18. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the bus access teachings of Belschner with the comparison circuit teachings of Vail.
- 19. In this manner, access to data bus can be regulated in a discipline manner so as to mitigate user collisions on the data bus or erroneously transmitting data when a single spurious enable signal is set.
- 20. Claims 3 10, 13, 15 16 and 18 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Belschner, et al (U.S. Patent 7103805), in view of in view of Vail (U.S. Patent 6918068) and in further view of Riley et al (U.S. Patent 5706289).
- 21. With regards to claims 3, 15, and 19, Belschner, modified teaches of a network node as claimed in claim 1, characterized in that the release signals of the communication unit and the bus monitor, but does not teach that the signals are coded inversely to one another.
- 22. The polarities of signals in VLSI are determined by the physical design, timing and performance specification of the logic as shown in, for example, in Riley Figure 13 element 445 and 446.

- 23. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made by a person of to having ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Belschner, modified Riley so that release signals are coded inversely to one another if the design so dictated.
- 24. In this manner, the time slot of bus could be blocked or not in the most expedient manner per a specific set of physical specifications.
- 25. In regards to claims 4-5, 16, and 20, Belschner modified, teach a network node characterized in that the evaluation of the two release signals is undertaken in the bus driver, but does not teach the of the influence of a low-pass filter or of a low-pass filter of configurable design.
- 26. Riley teaches of the evaluation of the two signals is undertaken in the bus driver with the influence of a low-pass filter or of a low-pass filter of configurable design. (8: [0024] read shown in block form in FIG. 2A, the channel input signal at the channel A input terminal to the integrated circuit is fed through a dual signal conditioning circuit before further processing. The signal conditioning circuit includes a Channel A signal conditioning circuit (shown in FIG. 3A) i.e. configurable low-pass filter. As shown in FIG. 3A, the signal conditioning circuit 122 has an anti-aliasing filter, a hysteresis circuit, and a digital low pass filter.)
- 27. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made by a person of to having ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Belschner, modified Riley to condition the input release signal with a configurable low pass filter.6
- 28. In this manner, noise or channel transients can be mitigated thus improving the fidelity of the protection time slot logic for the bus.
- 29. In regards to claims 6 and 7, Belschner teaches that error-state detection generated in the bus driver is resettable from the outside and can be signaled to the outside. (4: [0056] read [t]he bus monitor unit is connected via an interface to a communications computer of the central node, which loads and calculates the time patterns i.e. access time schedule contained in a configuration data record, for the

accepted transmission slots of the individual users i.e. communication nodes. The interface is a component of the configuration means).

- 30. Regarding claim 8, Belschner teaches that the bus monitor and the bus driver are integrated into one unit. (2: [0045] read the bus monitor unit is integrated into the central node is suitable for monitoring access of users to the data bus, without having to install the bus monitor unit in a decentralized controller for this purpose.).
- 31. In consideration of claim 9, Belschner teaches a network with network nodes where the network nodes communicate with each other via the communication medium. (Figure 1 element 6).
- With regards to claim 10, Belschner teaches where redundant network channels are provided, wherein a bus monitor and a bus driver are assigned to each network channel in each network node (7: [0004] read FIG. 3 shows an example of a time pattern such as can be determined by the unit for setting the configuration parameters 21. First, two time slots are provided for the user 7, followed by a time slot for the third user 9. The two time slots which are represented in a hatched form are marked as blocked by the hatching, i.e. the bus monitor unit 5 has detected a faulty transmission signal at this time; as a result, the time slot is blocked both for transmission and reception. However, on the other hand, it would also be possible for signal filtering to take place so that the correct signal is generated by means of a filter or a redundant channel.).
- 33. In regards to claim 13 and 18, Belschner modified teaches the limitations of claim 12, but does not disclose where the bus driver evaluates the independently-generated release signals to ensure that both signals match one another to mitigate a network access condition resulting from an improperly-generated release signal.
- 34. Riley teaches where the bus driver evaluates the independently-generated release signals to ensure that both signals match one another to mitigate a network access condition resulting from an improperly-generated release signal. (6: [0061] read in mode one, during the first part of the time slot 65,

data is placed on the data bus 46 by one or more input data link modules 32 and the data remains on the data bus 46 for the entire time slot i.e. both signals match one another. At the midpoint 64 of the time slot 65, the data on the data bus 46 is copied from the bus to output terminals 98 and 100 on at least one output data link module 32 for use by at least one output device 54. i.e. to mitigate a network access condition resulting from an improperly-generated release signal)

- 35. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made by a person of to having ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Belschner, modified Riley so that release signals are properly matched.
- 36. In this manner, the time slot of bus could be blocked and an improperly-generated release signal can be mitigated
- 37. Claims 2 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Belschner, et al (U.S. Patent 7103805), in view of in view of Vail (U.S. Patent 5528168) and in further view of Back et al (U.S. Patent 5680554).
- 38. In consideration of claims 2 and 14, Belschner, modified Riley teaches of a bus driver activating the transmission stage if there is no blockage of access to the communication medium present, but does not teach of transmission request signal to the bus driver.
- 39. Back teaches of transmission request signal to the bus driver (4: [0054] read NRQ represents the basic unit of a data transmission request signal...)
- 40. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made by a person of to having ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Belschner, modified Riley with Baek.
- 41. In this manner, the bus driver will be enabled only when it has data to transmit thus minimizing collision with other bus drivers.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/555,266 Page 10

Art Unit: 2416

42. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to HENRY BARON whose telephone number is (571)270-1748. The examiner can normally

be reached on 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM E.S.T. Monday to Friday.

43. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Seema Rao can be reached on (571) 272-3174. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

44. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained

from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available

through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer

Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR

CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/H. B./ Examiner, Art Unit 2416

HB

/Donald L Mills/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2416